

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/667,210	09/19/2003	Charles R. Peniston	. 59603.21582	7164	
	30734 7590 10/17/2006			EXAMINER		
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100	00	JOHNSON, VICKY A				
		CTICUT AVE. N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304			3682		

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/667,210	PENISTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vicky A. Johnson	3682	

		,			
	Vicky A. Johnson	3682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat</li> </ul>	e of the final rejection				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" "	eu 1 111 1 1 1 1			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extean Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
	Law San Araba Hara September 1981	21 1 1			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered becaus (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	· · · ·	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		impliant Americanent	(I TOL 024).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ovided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Vicky A. Johnson	10/15/84		
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3682	1 .		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 10/667,210** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 16 changes the scope of the claim and therefore requires further search and/or consideration.